

Working Group 3

Foreign, Security and Defence Policy of the EU

Research Plan and Directions

Prepared by Prof. Dr. Anna Molnár

Title: Future of European Defence Union. Strengthening and deepening European defence integration

Objectives

This research aims:

- to assess the current state of European defence cooperation,
- to examine and analyse the process leading to the establishment of the European Defence Union and strategic autonomy,
- to identify key challenges and opportunities of the EU's involvement in defence policy,
- to investigate whether the European Union as a security community has the capability to provide security in its immediate environment,
- to describe the spillover effect of external factors on the evolution of the European Defence Union,
- to assess the process of creating a Single European Defence Equipment Market
- to contribute to the ongoing debate on European defence integration.

Background

The Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) is the European Union's youngest policy area, emerging in the late 1990s. It was created as integral part of the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP). It integrated tasks and institutions from the Western European Union (WEU) and launched its first missions and operations in 2003. The first European security strategy was accepted in 2003. From the outset, the CSDP encompassed both crisis management tools and capability development priorities. The Battlegroup concept was created in 2004. Although the CSDP has seen significant progress during the last decades, but there are some doubts regarding the success of its future.



Initially, the EU's internal market regulations did not cover the defence industry, that is why the gradual integration of the European defence sector and the capability development processes was needed. In 2003, the European Commission aimed to create a "European Defence Equipment Market" to foster a more open market among member states, crucial for strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base. However, despite the 2009 Defence and Security Procurement Directive's goal to enhance transparency and openness, member states achieved these objectives only partially and European defence remained fragmented and underfinanced. Due to these challenges the technology gap started to increase. During the last two decades, alongside the development of crisis management structures and debates on the EU-NATO relations, the concepts of a European Defence Union and strategic autonomy have repeated surfaced. The EU institutions and member states have advocated for deeper security and defence cooperation.

Several factors have driven this accelerated process, including the Arab Spring, Russian aggression in Ukraine, mass migration and refugee crises from conflicts in the EU's southern neighbourhood, and increasing hybrid threats. Additionally, the shifting USA geostrategic interests, the worsening EU-US relations during the two Donald Trump's presidencies, the Brexit referendum, and a changing global environment leading to a new arms race have all contributed to this evolution. Significant developments have been witnessed in the international system; the world order is more fragmented and unstable than ever. It is also characterised by rising nationalism, protectionism, geopolitical rivalries and military conflicts. The emergence of new powers has posed new challenges to the multilateral liberal global order and multilateralism. The return of great power competition and geopolitical rivalries are also characterised by sharp technological fights.

During the last decades, a geopolitical shift can be witnessed and the post-Cold War world order has transformed significantly. The unipolarity developed after the collapse of the Soviet Union was replaced by complex multipolarity following the global financial crisis of 2008, as Josef Borell, former Vice President of the European Commission, describes the transition. According to Borell the world economic order is comprised of 'three dominant poles: the United States, China and the European Union'. Politically the world structure is more complex. Because there is 'an emerging Sino-American bipolarity; 2. and there are strong regional political and military powers like Russia and Turkey.; and 3. there are world actors between the two like the EU that has a strong economic weight but without military power. The EU is struggling 'to close the gap between economic power and geopolitical influence'." (Peters, 2022) Some critics argue that the word order is multipolar. (Bekkevold 2023)

In the aftermath of the Arab Spring and currently, with the war in Ukraine after the Russian aggression, there has been an imperative to enhance defence cooperation within the EU to become a credible security provider. The changing international environment forced the EU to redefine itself as a new type of power, and to adapt itself to the world of great powers.



Currently, with the evolution of EU crisis management structures and the discourse surrounding defence capability development, the notion of a European Defence Union and the concept of European strategic autonomy have emerged on numerous occasions (Molnár 2024). Investment in the European defence industry plays crucial role in reaching strategic autonomy and overcoming technological gap.

The discourse surrounding the future of CSDP is not limited to the EU level; it is also a subject of political discussion at the Member State level. The standpoint adopted by individual Member States with regard to European strategic autonomy is also subject to variation. It is the result of differing perceptions of security and threats, geographical location and foreign and security policy orientation. This variation can also be observed in regional cooperation arrangements.

The acceptance of the EU Global Strategy in 2016 can be considered a turning point in the development process of CSDP. Since 2017, the gradual realisation of deeper European defence cooperation has been built on at least seven pillars: the establishment of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC), the establishment of the PESCO, the introduction of the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD), the creation of the European Defence Fund (EDF), and the establishment of the European Peace Facility (EPF), the Rapid Deployment Capacity (RDC), and the development of European rules for the procurement of arms, munitions and war material. (Molnár 2024)

For its security the EU relies heavily on the US and on the NATO. Regarding the cooperation with the NATO the EU is committed to the principle of 3 D (no decupling, no discrimination and no duplication). It is still not clear that the EU can fulfil its ambition to become a real global player (a power), from a global payer. In the new multipolar word order when European security and defence is determined and largely financed by Washington. The European Union faces several challenges, including underfinanced and fragmented defence capabilities, varying levels of political willingness among members states, and a rapidly changing and worsening security environment.

Research Questions:

- 1. What are the primary challenges facing European defence capability development and cooperation?
- 2. How have recent geopolitical developments, such as Russian aggression and the US's shifting foreign policy, influenced European defence priorities?
- 3. How might technological advancements impact the future of European defence strategies?



- 4. What role do EU institutions and member states play in enhancing defence cooperation and strategic autonomy?
- 5. What are the most effective policies and initiatives on European level to strengthen European defence capabilities and cooperation?

Methodology

The research is based on a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data analysis. The following methods can be used:

- literature review to analyse existing academic research, policy papers, and official documents related to European defence.
- semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including EU officials, member state representatives, and defence experts.
- case studies to examine specific defence cooperation initiatives, such as PESCO and the EDF, to assess their effectiveness and impact.
- interpretive policy analysis of the key strategic documents on European security and defence.
- content and discourse analysis of political speeches of EU leaders and politicians.



Bibliography

Abeele, Éric Van den, 2021, Towards a new paradigm in open strategic autonomy? ETUI, https://www.etui.org/sites/default/files/2021-

06/Towards%20a%20new%20paradigm%20in%20open%20strategic%20autonomy_2021.pdf Aggestam, Lisbeth. "Introduction: Ethical Power Europe?" International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-), vol. 84, no. 1, 2008, pp. 1–11. JSTOR, http://www.jstor.org/stable/25144711. Accessed 21 Dec. 2023.

Balossi-Restelli, L. M. (2011). Fit for what? Towards explaining Battlegroup inaction. European Security, 20(2), 155–184.

Bekkevold Jo Inge: No, the World Is Not Multipolar. The idea of emerging power centers is popular but wrong—and could lead to serious policy mistakes. Foreign Policy, September 22, 2023, https://foreignpolicy.com/2023/09/22/multipolar-world-bipolar-power-geopolitics-business-strategy-china-united-states-india/

Bifulco, R. and Nato, A. (2020). 'The concept of sovereignty in the EU – past, present and the future.' RECONNECT Project. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/documents/downloadPublic?documentIds=080166e 5ceba74f0&appId=PPGMS

Biscop, S. (2017). European Defence: What's in the CARDs for PESCO?, October 2019. http://www.egmontinstitute.be/content/uploads/2017/10/SPB91-Biscop.pdf?type=pdf

Biscop, S. (2018). European Strategy: New Future for an Old Power. New York: Routledge

Biscop, S. 2020, 'Battalions to Brigades: The Future of European Defence. Survival', Vol. 62., No. 5, p. 105-118.

Blockmans, S. 2019, Dylan Macchiarini Crosson: Differentiated integration within PESCO, Clusters and convergence in EU defence, 9 Dec 2019, CEPS, https://www.ceps.eu/ceps-publications/differentiated-integration-within-pesco/

Brack, N.; Coman, R. and Crespy, A. (2019). 'Sovereignty conflicts in the European Union'. Les Cahiers du Cevipol, 2019/4 (N° 4), 3–30. Available at: https://www.cairn.info/revue-les-cahiers-du-cevipol-2019-4-page-3.htm

Cross, Mai'a K Davis, Europe, a smart power?. Int Polit 48, 691–706 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2011.28

Deneckere, M. 'The uncharted path towards a European Peace Facility' ECDPM Discussion Paper, No. 248., 18 March 2019, https://ecdpm.org/publications/uncharted-path-towards-european-peace-facility/



Dick Zandee, Bob Deen, Kimberley Kruijver Adája Stoetman: 2020. European strategic autonomy in security and defence. Now the going gets tough, it's time to get going. Clingendael Report. December 2020, https://www.clingendael.org/sites/default/files/2020-12/Report European Strategic Autonomy December 2020.pdf

Duchêne, F. (1972). Europe's Role in World Peace. In R. J. Mayne, ed. Europe Tomorrow. Sixteen Europeans Look Ahead. London: Collins. pp. 32-47.

Duchêne, F. (1973). The European Community and the Uncertainties of Interdependence. In M. Kohnstamm and W. Hager, eds. A Nation Writ Large? Foreign-Policy Problems before the European Community. London: Macmillan. pp. 1-21.

Fiott, D. (2018). Strategic autonomy: towards 'European sovereignty' in defence?', December 2018

https://www.iss.europa.eu/sites/default/files/EUISSFiles/Brief%2012__Strategic%20Autonomy.pdf

Fiott, D. (2020). 'Uncharted Territory? Towards a common threat analysis and a Strategic Compass for EU security and defence'. EU ISS BRIEF. Retrieved from: https://www.iss.europa.eu/sites/default/files/EUISSFiles/Brief%2016%20Strategic%20Compass_0.pdf

Fiott, Daniel (2020). 'Uncharted Territory? Towards a common threat analysis and a Strategic Compass for EU security and defence', EU ISS BRIEF. https://www.iss.europa.eu/sites/default/files/EUISSFiles/Brief%2016%20Strategic%20Compass_0.pdf

Fiott, Daniel and Missiroli, Antonio and Tardy, Thierry, 'Permanent Structured Cooperation: What's in a Name?' Chaillot Papers, No.142, EU Institute for Security Studies. 13 November 2017, https://www.iss.europa.eu/content/permanent-structured-cooperation-what%E2%80%99s-name

Grevi, Giovanni, 'What Ambition for Defence in the EU?', 19 October 2016, http://www.ispionline.it/it/pubblicazione/what-ambition-defence-eu-15855

Grüll, P. and Lawton, S. (2020). 'Sovereignty and solidarity: Germany's plans for the EU's foreign policy'. Euractive.com. https://www.euractiv.com/section/global-europe/news/sovereignty-and-solidarity-germanys-plans-for-the-eus-foreign-policy/

Hill, C. (1990). European foreign policy: Power bloc, civilian model – or flop? In R. Reinhardt, ed. The Evolution of an International Actor. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Jones, B. (2020). 'CSDP defence capabilities development'. 10 Years of CSDP. Four in-depth analyses requested by the Sub-Committee on Security and Defence of the European Parliament



(EP). https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2020/603485/EXPO_IDA(2020)603485 EN.pdf

Juncker, Jean-Claude (2016a): 'NATO is not enough, EU needs an army.' EurActiv, 9 March 2016, https://www.euractiv.com/section/global-europe/news/juncker-nato-is-not-enough-euneeds-an-army/

Lewander, J. (2021) Strategic Autonomy – Views from the North. Perspectives on the EU in the World of the 21st Century. Swedish Institute for European policy studies, Report no.1op, https://www.sieps.se/globalassets/publikationer/2021/2021 1op.pdf

Libek, E. 2019, European Strategic Autonomy: A Cacophony of Political Visions, International Centre for Defence and Security. https://icds.ee/en/european-strategic-autonomy-a-cacophony-of-political-visions/

Macron, E. (2017) Sorbonne Speech of Emmanuel Macron - Full text / English version, 26 September 2017, https://international.blogs.ouest-france.fr/archive/2017/09/29/macron-sorbonne-verbatim-europe-18583.html

Manners, J. (2002). Normative Power Europe: A Contradiction in Terms? Journal of Common Market Studies, 40(2), pp. 235-258.

Meyer, Christoph, Van Osch Ton and Reykers Yf (2022): The EU Rapid Deployment Capacity: This time, it's for real? European Parliament, Policy Department for External Relations Directorate General for External Policies of the Union, October 2022, In-Depth Analysis,

https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2022/702568/EXPO_IDA(2022)702568 EN.pdf

Missiroli, Antonio ed. (2003): From Copenhagen to Brussels. European defence: core documents. Chaillot Papers. Vol. 4. No. 67. 36-39. Elérhető: www.iss.europa.eu/sites/default/files/EUISSFiles/cp067e.pdf

Molnár, A. (2018). Az Európai Unió külkapcsolati rendszere és eszközei. A külkapcsolatoktól a kül-, a biztonság- és védelempolitikáig. Budapest: Dialóg Campus.

Molnár, A. (2022). The idea of a European Security and Defence Union. In A. Molnár, D. Fiott, F. Asderaki, S. Paile-Calvo eds. Challenges of the Common Security and Defence Policy: ESDC 2nd Summer University Book. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, pp 19-36.

Molnár, Anna 2019b: 'PESCO'. In: Krajnz, Zoltán, (ed.), Hadtudományi lexikon. (Budapest, Dialóg Campus Kiadó, 2019), p. 880-881.



Molnár, A. (2024). 'The EU's Common Security and Defence Policy in the Context of European Strategic Autonomy'. in: Zombory, K., Szilágyi, J. (eds.) Shielding Europe with the Common Security and Defence Policy: The EU Legal Framework for the Development of an Innovative European Defence Industry in Times of a Changing Global Security Environment. Miskolc and Budapest: Central European Academic Publishing pp. 35-72. doi: 10.54237/profnet.2024.zkjeszcodef 1

Moravcsik, A. (2010). Europe: The Second Superpower. Current History, 109(725) pp. 91–98.

Nováky, Niklas: 'The European Security and Defence Union: how should it look like?', 13 November 2017, https://www.vocaleurope.eu/the-european-security-and-defence-union-how-should-it-look-like/;

Nye, J.S., 2023. Soft Power and Great-Power Competition, Springer Singapore, Doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-0714-4

Orłowski, T. (2023) European Strategic Autonomy Remains Conveniently Broadly Defined, https://visegradinsight.eu/european-strategic-autonomy-remains-conveniently-broadly-defined/

Peters, M. A. (2022). The emerging multipolar world order: A preliminary analysis. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, *55*(14), 1653–1663. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2022.2151896

Phelan, William. "What Is Sui Generis About the European Union? Costly International Cooperation in a Self-Contained Regime." International Studies Review, vol. 14, no. 3, 2012, pp. 367–85. JSTOR, http://www.jstor.org/stable/23280306. Accessed 20 Dec.

Recchia, S. (2020). 'A legitimate sphere of influence: Understanding France's turn to multilateralism in Africa'. Journal of Strategic Studies, 43(4), pp.508–533. https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2020.1733985

Reykers, Y. (2017). EU Battlegroups: High costs, no benefits. Contemporary Security Policy, 38(3), 457-470

Reykers, Yf, 2019, 'A permanent headquarters under construction? The Military Planning and Conduct Capability as a proximate principal' Journal of European Integration, Vol. 41., No. 6., p. 783-799.

Ringsmose, J. and Rynning, S. (2017). The NATO Response Force: A qualified failure no more? Contemporary Security Policy, 38(3), 443-456.

Schnitzl, G., 2023. EDIRPA/EDIP: Risks and opportunities of future joint procurement incentives for the European defence market. French Institute for International and Strategic Affairs, 2023 (March). https://www.iris-france.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/ARES-81-Policy-paper.pdf



Silva, P. M. II and Zachary, S. (2020). 'Economic interdependence and economic sanctions: a case study of European Union sanctions on Russia'. Cambridge Review of International Affairs, 33. (2), pp.229–251. https://doi.org/10.1080/09557571.2019.1660857

Soler, Sebastian Puig (2021): The European Peace Facility. in: Jochen Rehrl (ed.): Handbook on CSDP, The Common Security and Defence Policy of the European Union. Wien, Armed Forces Printing Centre, 103-109.

Stavridis, S. (2001). "Militarizing" the EU: the Concept of Civilian Power Europe Revisited. The International Spectator, 36(4) pp. 43-50.

Sutter, C. de (2020). Europe's Road to strategic autonomy: Summarising the concrete steps taken'. 3 June 2020. https://finabel.org/europes-road-to-strategic-autonomy-summarising-the-concrete-steps-taken/

Tocci Nathalie (2008), 'When and why does the EU act as a normative power in its neighbourhood?' In: Heisbourg et al (ed.), 'What Prospects for Normative Foreign Policy in a Multipolar World?' CEPS ESF Working Papers. 1–6. https://www.files.ethz.ch/isn/88204/29 Full.pdf

Torreblanca J-I. and Jorge-Ricart R. 2022. The US-EU Trade and Technology Council (TTC): State of Play, Issues and Challenges for the Transatlantic Relationship. ESADE, ECFR. https://www.esade.edu/ecpol/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/AAFF_EcPol-OIGI PaperSeries ENG def jan22.pdf

Tsitsikostas, Georgios (2021): Challenges of Military Operations and Missions. in: Jochen Rehrl (ed.): Handbook on CSDP, The Common Security and Defence Policy of the European Union. Wien, Armed Forces Printing Centre, 86-92. https://www.egmontinstitute.be/app/uploads/2021/06/CSDP-HANDBOO-4th-edition.pdf

Varga, G. (2017). Towards European Strategic Autonomy? Evaluating the New CSDP Initiatives. July 2017. https://kki.hu/assets/upload/07_KKI-Studies_CSDP_VargaG_20171003.pdf

Vasconcelos, Álvaro de 'Introduction – 2020: defence beyond the transatlantic paradigm', In: Álvaro de Vasconcelos, What ambitions for European defence in 2020? (Condé-sur-Noireau, EU Institute for Security Studies, 2009), p. 15-26 https://www.iss.europa.eu/sites/default/files/EUISSFiles/What_ambitions_for_European_defence_in_2020_0.pdf

Wagner, W. (2017). Liberal Power Europe. Journal of Common Market Studies, 55(6) pp. 1398-1414.



Wallace, W. (1999). 'The Sharing of Sovereignty: The European Paradox'. Political Studies, 47(3), pp.503–521.

Weitershausen, I. von, Schafer, D. and Wessels, W. (2020). 'A 'Primus Inter Pares' in EU Foreign Policy? – German Leadership in the European Council during the Libyan and Ukrainian Crises'. German Politics, 29(1), pp. 42–58. https://cadmus.eui.eu/handle/1814/70170

Welt, 2015 'EU-Kommissionspräsident Juncker für europäische Armee', Welt, 8 March 2015, https://www.welt.de/newsticker/news1/article138177624/EU-Kommissionspraesident-Juncker-füer-europaeische-Armee.html

Zandee, Dick and Stoetman, Adája (2022): Realising the EU Rapid Deployment Capacity: opportunities and pitfalls. Clingendael. Policy Brief, October 2022, https://www.clingendael.org/sites/default/files/2022-10/Policy_brief_Rapid_Deployment_Capacity.pdf

7 May 2025